

Appl. No. 10/600,091
Supplemental Amendment dated December 19, 2005
Reply to Office Action of July 5, 2005

REMARKS

Applicants have received and reviewed an Office Action dated July 5, 2005. By way of supplemental response, Applicants have amended claim 30. Claims 30-36 are pending. No new matter is addressed.

Reconsideration of the application is requested in view of the above amendments and the following remarks.

Interview Summary

The Applicants' undersigned representative met in person with the Examiner on November 30, 2005. During the interview, Applicants' representative and the Examiner discussed the rejection of claims 30-36 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over Farrington et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,219,370) in view of Spendel (U.S. Patent No. 4,489,455). Applicants respectfully continue to traverse this rejection.

Solely in order to expedite prosecution and without acquiescing to the Examiner's arguments, Applicants have amended independent claim 30 to recite removing a portion of the intermediate concentration cleaning composition. This amendment finds support in the specification at least at page 6, lines 18-19 of the specification.

The Examiner stated that such an amendment would overcome the prior art of record.

Thus, Applicants respectfully request allowance of claims 30-36. Notification to that effect is earnestly solicited.

Appl. No. 10/600,091
Supplemental Amendment dated December 19, 2005
Reply to Office Action of July 5, 2005


Summary

In summary, Applicants submit that each of claims 30-36 are in condition for allowance. The Examiner is invited to contact Applicant's undersigned representative at the telephone number listed below, if the Examiner believes that doing so will expedite prosecution of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

MERCHANT & GOULD P.C.
P.O. Box 2903
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-0903
(612) 332-5300

Date: December 20, 2005


Mark T. Skoog
Reg. No. 40,178
MTS:HJK